



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/916,385	07/27/2001	Karl-Heinz Schwonke	D078 1130.1	7824

7590 03/10/2005  
Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice, PLLC  
P.O. Box 7037  
Atlanta, GA 30357-0037

EXAMINER

AHMED, SHEEBA

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1773

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/916,385	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SCHWONKE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Sheeba Ahmed	<b>Art Unit</b> 1773	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12/15/04.
- 2a)  This action is FINAL.                      2b)  This action is non-final.
- 3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4)  Claim(s) 19-31,37-58 and 74-77 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5)  Claim(s) 77 is/are allowed.
- 6)  Claim(s) 19-31,37-58 and 74-76 is/are rejected.
- 7)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10)  The drawing(s) filed on 27 July 2001 is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a)  All    b)  Some \*    c)  None of:
1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)                                   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)             |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114*

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 15, 2004 has been entered.

### **Response to Amendments**

2. New claims 16 and 77 have been added to the above-identified application. **Claims 19-31, 37-58, and 74-77 are now pending.**

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112*

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claim 76 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 1773

Claim 76 recites that the flakes have a particle size greater than a thickness thereof by a factor of at least 2.5 and a thickness in the range of 1.0 to 100 microns. However, such a limitation essentially states that when the thickness is 1 micron then the particle size of the flakes can be 2.5 microns. However, the original disclosure does not state that the particle size of the flakes can be 2.5 microns and hence this *new flake particle size* constitutes new matter.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 19-31, 37-58, 74, and 75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dobry et al. (US 2,962,081).

Dobry et al. disclose plastic composition sheets that are adapted for use as floor coverings wherein the process of making such a plastic composition sheet entails depositing uniformly on a backing material a smooth layer of a mixture of fine particles and flat plastic chips (Column 1, lines 49-65). The plastic chips are about 0.18 to 1.0 inches in diameter (equivalent to 4.57 to 25.40 mm) and have a thickness of 0.01 to 0.025 inches (equivalent to 254-635 microns) (Column 2, lines 37-45). The process of the disclosed invention is applicable to various types of plastic compositions used in surface coverings including linoleum (Column 2, lines 71-72). If the plastic composition

Art Unit: 1773

is linoleum then the linoleum is composed of drying oils, resin, fillers and pigments. The oil can be linseed oil. The resin can be rosin or ester gum. The thickness of the plastic layer can be varied and is typically 0.02 to 0.06 inches in thickness (Column 6, lines 11-31, 70-75). Typical of other suitable resins are polymethyl methacrylates (Column 5, lines 27-30). Figure 2 is a cross-sectional view of the surface covering and specifically shows that the plastic chips (10) are imbedded in the matrix of fine granules (11) and wherein a backing material (20) is also present. Claims 21 and 42 recite that the polymeric material may be selected from the recited Markush groups and Dobry et al. meet the limitation that the polymeric material may be a polymethyl methacrylate. Claims 22-27 and 43-53 are dependent on claims 21 and 42, respectively, and do not positively recite that the polymeric material is a reaction product of a carboxylic acid and an epoxidation product of a carboxylic acid ester and hence claims 22-27 and 43-53 are rejected under Dobry et al. All limitations of claims 19-31, 37-58, 74, and 75 are disclosed in the above-recited reference.

### ***Response to Arguments***

5. Applicant's arguments filed on December 15, 2004 have been fully considered but are not persuasive. Applicants traverse the rejection of claims 19-31 and 37-58 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Dobry et al. (US 2,962,081) and submit that Dobry et al. fail to teach or suggest a planar structure comprising a linoleum sheet containing flakes over its whole cross-section given that the product taught by Dobry et al. is formed by a stencil process in which the bulk flake material is spread through a

Art Unit: 1773

stencil and then compressed to give a distribution of chips only on the surface stratum of the floor covering. However, the Examiner disagrees. Dobry et al. disclose plastic composition sheets that are adapted for use as floor coverings and comprise a backing material and a smooth layer of a mixture of fine particles and flat plastic chips (Column 1, lines 49-65). The plastic chips are about 0.18 to 1.0 inches in diameter (equivalent to 4.57 to 25.40 mm) and have a thickness of 0.01 to 0.025 inches (equivalent to 254-635 microns) (Column 2, lines 37-45). The thickness of the plastic layer, which is linoleum, can be varied and is typically 0.02 to 0.06 inches in thickness (Column 6, lines 11-31, 70-75). Furthermore, Figure 2 is a cross-sectional view of the surface covering and specifically shows that the plastic chips (10) are imbedded in the matrix of fine granules (11) and hence are present over the whole cross section of the plastic composition.

#### ***Allowable Subject Matter***

6. Claim 77 is allowed.


#### ***Conclusion***

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sheeba Ahmed whose telephone number is (571)272-1504. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays and Thursdays from 9:30am to 6:00pm.

Art Unit: 1773

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carol Chaney can be reached on (571)272-1284. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

  
Sheeba Ahmed  
Art Unit 1773  
March 3, 2005